From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

DOCKETED

PCT

MAY 1 3 2004

DUE DATE:

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

13.05.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

300 South Wacker Drive

ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

02-415-A

To:

Singer, C. P.

& BERGHOFF

Chicago, IL 60606

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)
11.06.2003

Priority date (day/month/year) 11.06.2002

PCT/US 03/18283 | 11.

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT

Applicant

ELAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>@</u>)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer** 

Sleex, C

Tel. +49 89 2399-8044





### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02-415-A				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
				International filing date 11.06.2003	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.06.2002	
	nationa K31/1		nt Classification (IPC) o	r both national classification	and IPC		
	icant AN PH	IARN	IACEUTICALS, INC	C. et al.		-	
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining     Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					•	
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3.	This	repor	rt contains indications	s relating to the following	items:		
I 🛛 Basis of the opinion							
	}		Priority	•			
			of opinion with regard to	gard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
			•				
	<ul> <li>Lack of unity of invention</li> <li>V Math Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabil citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> </ul>			y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	VI		Certain documents	cited	•		
	VII   Certain defects in the		he international application	on			
	VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion	of this report	
08.6	08.01.2004				13.05.2004		
Nam preli	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer	garbitan Petanean, r	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Beeck, M	3. mario %:	
				23656 epmu d	Telephone No. +49	9 89 2399-8473.	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/18283

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-23	36	as originally filed				
Claims, Numbers							
	1-30	)	as originally filed				
2.	With	ith regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:</li> </ol>						
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to o	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6	Δdd	litional observations	if necessary:				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/18283

III.	II. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The obv	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	☐ the entire international application,						
	⊠ claims Nos. 1-7,9-14,17-20,22-30						
because:							
★							
see separate sheet							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that could be formed.				ly supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
	□ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.						
2.	or a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide an or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
	☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.			ed or does not comply with the Standard.			
V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Sta	atement					
	Nov	Novelty (N) nventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-30		
	Inve			Claims Claims	1-30		
Indi		dustrial applicability (IA)		Claims Claims	8,15,16,21		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPAR

International application No.

PCT/US03/18283

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

D1: US-A-5 559 111 (YAMAGUCHI YASUCHIKA ET AL) 24 September 1996 (1996-09-24)

D2: US-A-5 641 778 (MAIBAUM JUERGEN KLAUS ET AL) 24 June 1997 (1997-06-24) cited in the application

### **SECTION III:**

Claims 1 to 7, 9 to 14, 17 to 20 and 22 to 30 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT.

Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

### **SECTION V:**

 According to documents D1 and D2 the same compounds are used, but for the treatment of hypertension.

The subject-matter of the claims differs therefrom in that the compounds are used for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease.

Since the treatment of Alzheimer's disease with these compounds and the complex of  $\beta$ -secretase with the compounds of formula (I) were not obvious for the person skilled in the art, the subject-matter of the claims involves an inventive step.

2) For the assessment of the present claims 1 to 7, 9 to 14, 17 to 20 and 22 to 30 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.